

United States Patent and Trademark Office

1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/687,718 | 10/17/2003 | Susan B. Cirulli | END920030061US1 (16846) | 3283 |
| 23389 7590 01/15/2008 SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN:CITY PLAZA | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | ALLEN, WILLIAM J | |
| | SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530 | | | PAPER NUMBER |
| G.M.D.D.V. G.T. | ., | | 3625 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/15/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/687,718 | CIRULLI ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | WILLIAM J. ALLEN | 3625 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONON, cause the application to become AE | CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | · | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 O | ctober <u>2007</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This | ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D |). 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 20-21 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-21</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| • | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | xaminer. Note the attache | d Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Burea | | · | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | ,, — , , , , | O (DTO 442) | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | Informal Patent Application | | | | |

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Prosecution History Summary

Claims 1-19 have been canceled.

Claims 20-21 are pending and treated as set forth below.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/30/2007 has been entered.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,718

Art Unit: 3625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Regarding claims 20-21, Applicant's specification describes a "dynamic approval recalculation" which involves recalculating a list each time an approver approves a requisition. The specification goes on to read "This may be done, for example, to account for someone who delegated approval authority for a period of time, but resumes that authority at the end of that time period. With approval recalculation, the proper approver can be pulled back onto the list." (see Page 5, Paragraph 0026). Pertinent to the allowability as indicated in the action mailed 7/27/07, Applicant claims a substitution of names upon delegation of approval authority, recalculating the approval list, and pulling a name of an approver back onto the list at a later time. The specification, however, lacks sufficient detail for describing the aspect of substitution and in fact neglects completely to describe this aspect of the invention. In turn, one of ordinary skill in the art would not be capable of making and/or using the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3625

2. Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 20 and 21 recite the feature of "if one of the approvers has delegated approval authority to another person, substituting the name of said another person for the name of said one of the approvers". This feature is not supported by the original claims or specification, and is therefore considered new matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,718

Art Unit: 3625

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to WILLIAM J. ALLEN whose telephone number is (571)272-1443. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff A. Smith can be reached on (571) 272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William J. Allen Patent Examiner January 10, 2008

> MATTHEW S. GART MATTHEW S. GART PRIMARY EXAMINER PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600